

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/035,769	12/26/2001	David A. Seddon	A1091	3834	
21495 7	7590 06/26/2003				
CORNING CABLE SYSTEMS LLC			EXAMINER		
P O BOX 489 HICKORY, N	C 28603		PATEL, TU	LSIDAS C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2839	σ	
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				AIS			
	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/035,769	9	SEDDON ET AL.				
→ Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
71 11111112 2177 111	T. C. Patel		2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 May 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is r	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are∵ a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6			v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152				

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

General Status

1. This is a Final Action on the Merits. Claims 1-5, 7-15 are pending in the case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "helix value" for inner and outer tube layers, whereas the specification discusses formulae for 'helix factor' for a fiber. It is not clear what is meant by 'helix value' for the tube layer; the specification does uses the term 'helix value' however, fails to define the term in terms of equation or how such value can be calculated. Claims 5 and 9-12, all have similar recitation.

In claim 4, it is not clear what is meant by "said buffer tube layers ... inner tube layers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

,

. (

Art Unit: 2839

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nave' et al. (US 5,343,549) in view of Fangmann et al. (US 5,611,016).

Nave et al. in figure 1, discloses a cable with two layers However, Nave' et al. does not disclose the fibers in the tubes to be optically connected. Fangmann et al. in figure 7-10, discloses optical connection between the two corresponding fibers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optically connect the two fibers, as taught by Fangmann et al. so that the optical signal can be transmitted to a greater length.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 5/14/2003 have been fully considered. The Examiner has maintained 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection and also the art rejection for claim 8. For claims reciting 'helix value', the Examiners position is clear, since the specification only defines 'helix factor', the claim should recite 'helix factor' in order for Examiner to interpret the claim. The Applicant is reminded that the specification should provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter (see 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o)). As to claim 8, the claim only requires **some** of the concatenated cables to have **essentially** the same length (and not all the cables to have essentially the same length). The argument that the outer layer of the cables would have larger length, etc. has no value, as the claim does not refer to the outer layer at all, the claim only refers to some of the cable and the length does not have to

Art Unit: 2839

. 6

be exactly the same, it only has to 'essentially' the same. In view of these, the Examiner has maintained the art rejection for claim 8.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. C. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-1736. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2839

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1736.

T. C. Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839

TEPER

tcp June 19, 2003